

**THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION No.12218 OF 2006**

**ORAL ORDER:**

-

This writ petition is filed seeking a declaration that the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in not issuing Through Transport Permits by considering the applications of the petitioner dated 14.6.2006, is arbitrary and illegal.

When the matter is taken up for consideration, it is brought to my notice by the learned counsel for the petitioner that an identical issue has been considered by this Court in W.P.No.435 of 2006 and that while taking into consideration the new Rules framed under G.O.Rt.No.996, Excise Department, dated 25.10.2005, known as Andhra Pradesh Excise (Import, Export, Transport Indian Liquor and Foreign Liquor) Permission Rules, 2005 (for short "the Rules"), the said writ petition was disposed of with a direction to the first respondent-Commissioner of Prohibition and Excise, to consider the applications filed by the petitioner and pass fresh orders in accordance with Rule 16(5) of the Rules. The said fact has not been disputed by the learned Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise appearing for the respondents.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, following the decision dated 6.01.2006 in W.P.No.435 of 2006, this writ petition is disposed directing the first respondent- Commissioner of Prohibition and Excise, to consider the applications of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably on or before 30.6.2006.

---

**(G. ROHINI, J.)**

20<sup>th</sup> April, 2006.

Kgr

Issue CC by day after tomorrow.

**THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION No.12218 OF 2006**

20<sup>th</sup> June, 2006.

Between:

M/s Golden Enterprises, a proprietary concern,  
Kamisetty Street, Yanam, represented by its  
Manager – G.B. Srinivas. .. Petitioner.

And

The Commissioner of Prohibition and Excise,  
Government of Andhra Pradesh, Nampally,  
Hyderabad and another. .. Respondents.